

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,173	07/22/2003	Michael Baumann	60,126-226	6855
27305 HOWARD & 1	7590 02/13/2007	EXAMINER		
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151			HANAN, DEVIN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>5200</i> m 125	,	3745		
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/624,173	BAUMANN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Devin Hanan	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1: Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/7/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: There appears to be a typo in line 4, the "a" second occurrence is not needed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Connor et al. (U.S. Patent 4,022,423).

O'Connor et al. disclose a turbine motor with a housing;

a drive shaft (fig. 1, 21) of said motor having a bearing unit (23);

a turbine wheel (17) drivably arranged upon said drive shaft rotatably disposed within a drive channel (16) of said housing (11) and having blade elements disposed upon the carrier surface;

an inlet (12) for providing a driving gas through said housing and into driving contact with said blade elements (19) of said turbine wheel; and

Application/Control Number: 10/624,173

Art Unit: 3745

a shielding element (20) positioned adjacent said blade elements thereby limiting said drive channel.

Regarding claim 2, O'Connor et al. disclose the turbine wheel is formed by two disk elements lying in an opposed relationship in an axial direction and being limited by said drive channel (disc elements are 20 and 18).

Regarding claim 3, O'Connor et al. disclose the shielding element comprises a disk operably connected (20 is connected to 19) to one of said drive shaft and said turbine wheel thereby rotating with said turbine wheel.

Regarding claim 4, O'Connor et al. disclose the shielding element is fixedly attached to the turbine blades (col. 2 lines 18-27).

Regarding claim 6, O'Connor et al. disclose the shielding element defines at least one outlet for driving gas (13).

Regarding claim 7, O'Connor et al. disclose an inlet for driving gas is positioned in said housing spaced from said drive shaft in a radial direction (12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor as applied to claim 1 and further in view of an engineering expedient. O'Connor

Art Unit: 3745

teaches the use of a shielding plate attached to the turbine blades, but does not disclose how it is attached.

It is common practice in the art of turbines to join two parts by welding.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of O'Connor by welding the shielding plate to the blades as an engineering expedient for the purpose of rigidly fixing the two parts together.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Connor et al. in view of Bailey (U.S. Patent 4,355,949).

O'Connor et al. disclose all of the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 6 above, but does not disclose the opening defined by said shielding element includes a cross sectional area greater than a smallest cross sectional area of the inlet.

However, Bailey teaches of an inlet with a smaller cross section for the purpose of reliably maintaining turbine wheel speed (col. 3 lines 52-59).

Since O'Connor and Bailey both have turbine wheels with inlets, the purpose disclosed by Bailey would have been recognized in the pertinent art of O'Connor. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to add the inlet of Bailey to the turbine of O'Connor for the purpose of reliably maintaining turbine wheel speed (col. 3 lines 52-59).

Art Unit: 3745

Regarding claim 9, O'Connor discloses all of the claimed limitations as discussed in claim 6 above, but does not disclose a nozzle with a cross section expanding after narrowing adjacent said opening leading into the drive channel.

However, Bailey teaches of an inlet with a cross section expanding after narrowing for the purpose of reliably maintaining turbine wheel speed (col. 3 lines 52-59).

Since O'Connor and Bailey both have turbine wheels with inlets, the purpose disclosed by Bailey would have been recognized in the pertinent art of O'Connor. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to add the inlet of Bailey to the turbine of O'Connor for the purpose of reliably maintaining turbine wheel speed (col. 3 lines 52-59).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devin Hanan whose telephone number is 571-272-6089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,173

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

✓ Patent Examiner
 Art Unit 3745

Page 6

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

2/5/07